Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/735,891	HAMANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edward J. Cain	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Edward J. Cain</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Meilman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Meilman confirmed that no response to the office action mailed 9/25/06 had been submitted.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
Cohort & Can		
(Examiner/SPE signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)